

KAREN GUTHRIE, *Individually and on*)
behalf of the estate of DONALD GUTHRIE,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 v.) Case No. 1:11-cv-333-SKL
)
)
 GREGORY BALL, M.D.,)
)
 Defendant.)

Before the Court are “Motion in Limine #5 to Exclude Testimony and Argument Regarding Economic Opinions on Loss Earning Capacity, Present Cash Value of the Life of Donald Guthrie and Other Economic Issues” [Doc. 107] and “Motion in Limine #9 To Exclude Testimony and Argument Regarding Any New Expert Opinions” [Doc. 113] filed by Defendant Gregory Ball, M.D. (“Defendant”). Specifically, Defendant seeks to prevent Plaintiff from introducing any expert testimony about Mr. Guthrie’s loss of earning capacity, the present cash value of the life of Mr. Guthrie, and any economic opinions pertaining to Donald Guthrie’s work life expectancy, earning potential, lost wages, lost earning capacity, present cash value, or other economic information, data, or opinions because Plaintiff did not disclose experts concerning any such information or computation.

The main issue raised in the motions was the potential of undisclosed expert testimony about

Mr. Guthrie's loss of earning capacity, the present cash value of the life of Mr. Guthrie, and any opinions pertaining to Donald Guthrie's work life expectancy, earning potential, lost wages, lost earning capacity, present cash value, or other economic information, data, or opinions because Plaintiff did not disclose experts concerning any such information or computation. The aspects of the motions pertaining to expert testimony [Doc. 107 & 113] are **GRANTED** and Plaintiff is precluded from attempting to introduce expert testimony about such matters. Any other issues alluded to in the motions or responses will need to be addressed by asserting evidentiary objections at trial, if necessary.

SO ORDERED.

ENTER:

s/ Susan K. Lee

SUSAN K. LEE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE